

1. World Inequality Lab Report on Land Inequality in India - Reports

The World Inequality Lab released a working paper highlighting the extent and nature of land inequality in rural India.

1. The study is based on data from the Socio-Economic Caste Census covering 650 million people across 2.7 lakh villages.
2. This comprehensive analysis provides crucial insights into land distribution patterns and their implications for rural development.

1.Key Findings of the Study

High Concentration of Land Ownership

1. The top 10% of rural households own 44% of total land, indicating a very high concentration of land ownership in India.
2. The top 5% of households own 32% of land, showing that concentration becomes steeper at higher levels of the distribution.
3. The top 1% alone own 18% of land, reflecting extreme inequality at the very top of the ownership pyramid.
4. This pattern means a small elite controls vast land resources while the majority has limited or no access.

Widespread Landlessness

1. About 46% of rural households are completely landless, meaning nearly half of rural India has no access to land as a productive asset.
2. Landlessness is concentrated among Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and agricultural laborers who depend entirely on wage employment.
3. The lack of land ownership severely impacts livelihood security, economic mobility, and social status in rural communities.
4. Landless households are more vulnerable to economic shocks and have limited means of wealth accumulation.

Village-Level Concentration

1. The largest landholder in a village owns on average about 12.4% of the total village land, showing significant concentration at the micro level.
2. In approximately 3.8% of villages, a single landowner controls more than 50% of the land, indicating extreme landlord dominance.
3. This concentration creates power imbalances within villages and perpetuates semi-feudal social relations in certain regions.

State-wise Variation

1. Punjab records the highest landlessness at 73%, largely due to the dominance of large mechanized farms and displacement of small cultivators.
2. Bihar shows 59% landlessness along with high land concentration, reflecting the legacy of the zamindari system.
3. Madhya Pradesh has 51% landlessness, with significant issues of tribal land alienation in forest areas.
4. Kerala has the highest land inequality measured by Gini coefficient, reflecting highly unequal distribution patterns.
5. Rajasthan has relatively lower landlessness at 34%, and Uttar Pradesh at 39%, compared to other major states.

2.Determinants of Land Inequality

Historical Factors

1. Regions under the zamindari system during British rule show significantly higher inequality with dominance of large landlords and fewer small farmers.

2. The zamindari system created hereditary landlord classes who controlled vast estates while actual cultivators remained tenants without ownership rights.
3. Former princely states show relatively lower inequality mainly due to lower proportions of landless households and different land tenure systems.
4. The British colonial land revenue system had lasting impacts on land distribution patterns that persist even after seven decades of independence.

Agricultural Factors

1. Regions with better agricultural suitability and fertile soil tend to have higher land concentration as such land attracts accumulation by large landowners.
2. Fragmentation of landholdings among small farmers due to inheritance laws has reduced farm sizes across successive generations.
3. Economically unviable small holdings force distress sales, leading to consolidation by larger landowners who have capital to purchase.
4. Market forces and commercial agriculture have accelerated land concentration in agriculturally productive regions.

Policy Implementation Failures

1. Ineffective implementation of land reforms allowed loopholes such as benami transactions where land is held in others' names.
2. Land fragmentation on paper through fake divisions enabled large landholders to retain control despite ceiling laws.
3. Lack of political will in enforcement, resistance from landed elites, and weak bureaucratic capacity undermined reform efforts.
4. Legal evasions and manipulations by powerful landowners circumvented the spirit of land reform legislation.

3. Legal Framework for Land Reforms

Abolition of Intermediaries

1. Zamindari Abolition Acts were enacted in various states to eliminate middlemen between the state and cultivators.
2. The main objective was to transfer ownership rights to actual cultivators and end feudal land relations.
3. Implementation varied widely across states with issues of compensation, retention of land by former zamindars, and incomplete transfer of rights.

Tenancy Reforms

1. Tenancy reforms aimed to regulate rent charged by landlords, provide security of tenure to tenants, and eventually confer ownership rights.
2. Operation Barga in West Bengal successfully recorded sharecroppers' rights, provided security of tenure, and regulated the share of produce.
3. However, in most states' tenancy reforms remained incomplete with many tenants lacking formal recognition and security.

Land Ceiling Legislation

1. Land ceiling laws imposed limits on the maximum land that an individual or family could own based on family size and land type.
2. Surplus land above the ceiling was to be acquired by the state and redistributed among landless agricultural laborers and small farmers.
3. Implementation faced challenges including fictitious transfers to relatives, benami holdings, exemptions for plantations, and limited actual redistribution.

Consolidation of Holdings

1. Consolidation programs aimed to reduce fragmentation by bringing scattered plots together to improve agricultural efficiency.

2. Punjab and Haryana implemented successful consolidation programs that contributed to Green Revolution success.
3. However, voluntary participation challenges and lack of updated land records hindered consolidation in many other states.

Digitization Initiatives

1. The Digital India Land Records Modernization Programme aims to computerize land records and improve transparency.
2. Key components include computerization of records, survey and settlement operations, cadastral mapping, and integration with registration systems.
3. Digitization is expected to reduce disputes, enable clear titles, and facilitate easier access to agricultural credit.

Forest Rights Act, 2006

1. The Act recognized land rights of forest-dwelling Scheduled Tribes and other traditional forest dwellers.
2. It provides for rights to forest land for habitation and cultivation, community forest resource rights, and recognition of traditional rights.
3. Implementation has been slow with low recognition of claims, bureaucratic hurdles, and conflicts between forest conservation and tribal rights.

4. Way Forward

Targeted Interventions

1. Special measures should focus on improving land access for marginalized communities including Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.
2. Joint land titles for both spouses can prevent alienation of women's rights and provide them with independent asset ownership.
3. Targeted redistribution programs should prioritize landless agricultural laborers and small farmers.
4. Prevention of land alienation laws need strict enforcement to protect tribal and dalit land rights.

Land Leasing Frameworks

1. NITI Aayog has recommended promotion of land leasing frameworks to improve efficiency without disturbing ownership patterns.
2. Formalized leasing can enable absentee owners to lease out land while providing security to lessees.
3. Contract farming arrangements can link farmers to markets, ensure price stability, and provide access to technology and inputs.
4. Legal recognition of tenancy rights will encourage productive investment without fear of eviction.

Support for Small Farmers

1. Enhanced institutional credit access at reasonable interest rates can reduce dependence on moneylenders and prevent distress sales.
2. Irrigation infrastructure development is crucial for improving productivity and reducing vulnerability to droughts.
3. Technology adoption support including subsidies for modern equipment and training can help small farmers compete.
4. Farmer Producer Organisations can provide collective bargaining power, better market access, and economies of scale in input purchase.

Source: <https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/explained-global/why-netanyahu-israel-wont-stop-bombing-lebanon-10626769/?ref=infinite>