GST BURDEN ON INDIAN HOUSEHOLDS – ECONOMY

NEWS: A recent study analysing India's Goods and Services Tax (GST) regime using the 2022–23 Household Consumption Expenditure Survey (HCES) has revealed that the bottom 50% of consumers bear the same GST burden as the middle 30%, raising important questions about the tax system's progressivity and equity.

WHAT'S IN THE NEWS?

Key Findings from the Study

• Rural GST Burden Distribution:

- The **bottom 50%** of rural households bear **31%** of the total GST burden, despite their limited purchasing power.
- The **middle 30%** also shoulder **31%**, indicating similar tax exposure across lower and middle-income groups.
- The **top 20%** of rural households bear a slightly higher burden at **37%**, reflecting only moderate progressivity.

• Urban GST Burden Distribution:

- The **bottom 50%** of urban consumers pay **29%** of GST, a marginally lower share than rural counterparts.
- The **middle 30%** contribute **30%**, again showing a nearly equal burden with the lower-income group.
- The **top 20%** of urban consumers pay **41%**, showing higher burden but still not proportional to their income levels.

• Contradiction with Earlier Estimates:

- Earlier reports, such as **Oxfam India's 2023 analysis**, claimed that the **poorest 50%** paid nearly two-thirds of total GST revenue, while the richest 10% paid just 3–4%.
- This new study **refutes those claims** with a more data-grounded, nuanced distribution across income groups.

How GST Is Designed to Work

• Definition and Objective:

• GST (Goods and Services Tax) is a **comprehensive**, **destination-based indirect tax** aimed at **simplifying India's tax system** by subsuming multiple previous taxes like VAT, excise, and service tax.

• Consumption-Based Mechanism:

- GST is levied on **final consumption**, not income or profits, meaning everyone pays tax based on what they buy.
- Essential goods (like unbranded grains, fruits, vegetables) are either tax-exempt or taxed at 0–5%, aimed at protecting low-income households.
- Luxury items and sin goods are taxed at higher slabs (18–28%), aiming to create progressivity.

• Theoretical Progressivity vs. Practical Outcome:

- Ideally, wealthier individuals—who spend more on taxed luxury goods—should bear greater tax burden.
- In practice, the tax remains **only mildly progressive** as per international inequality indices like the **Kakwani Index** and **Revnolds-Smolensky Index**.

Reasons for the Observed Distributional Trend

- High Proportion of Spending on Necessities:
 - Lower-income households **spend most of their income on consumption**, often on semi-taxed or fully taxed items like packaged goods, personal care, fuel, etc.
 - Even with some essential items exempt, a **significant portion of their expenses attract GST**, increasing their effective tax burden.

• Limited Progressivity:

- High-income groups do spend more on luxury goods, but their consumption does
 not increase in direct proportion to their income, limiting the increase in GST
 paid.
- As income grows, **saving rates rise**, so the proportion of income spent (and taxed) falls.

Indirect Nature of Taxation:

- Since **GST taxes spending, not ability to pay**, it fails to align fully with principles of equity in taxation.
- This contrasts with direct taxes (like income tax), which are inherently more progressive.

• Structural Tax Design Issues:

 Though essential goods are exempt or taxed lower, many regularly consumed semi-essentials still fall in the 12–18% range, affecting both lower and middleincome groups.

Implications of the Study

• Equity and Fairness Concerns:

- The GST's structure results in **limited redistribution of wealth**. It does not sufficiently reduce income inequality.
- The burden on the bottom 50% is almost equal to that on the middle 30%, raising fairness issues.

Regressive Nature for the Poorest:

• For people with very low incomes, almost all income goes into taxed consumption, making GST appear regressive in effect.

• Need for Social Compensation:

• The persistently **high GST burden on the poor** increases the case for **targeted subsidies**, cash transfers, or **enhanced public welfare programmes** to correct post-tax inequality.

Way Ahead and Reform Measures Needed

- Enhance GST Progressivity:
 - Reduce or eliminate GST on frequently used goods and services that are critical for lower-income groups (e.g., low-cost hygiene products, affordable clothing, basic appliances).

• Revise Exemption Lists Periodically:

- The current exemption list is **static** and doesn't fully reflect **changing consumption patterns** of poor and middle-class households.
- Use **consumption surveys** to regularly update the exemption/low-tax categories.

• Strengthen Impact Monitoring:

- Institutionalise **regular distributional impact studies** of GST across income groups, regions, and urban-rural divides.
- Use such data to inform rate changes and GST Council decisions.

• Encourage Greater Use of Direct Transfers:

• Instead of excessively relying on indirect taxation, consider **expanding direct benefit transfers (DBTs)** and targeted welfare schemes to **offset GST burdens** on the poor.

• GST Council's Role in Cooperative Federalism:

- The **GST Council**, comprising Union and State Finance Ministers, must ensure that **tax policy aligns with inclusive growth goals** and not just revenue maximisation.
- It can play a pivotal role in balancing **fiscal needs with socio-economic equity**.

Broader GST Structure Overview

• Rate Slabs:

- Currently, GST has multiple tax rates:
 - 0% (exempted goods)
 - 5% (essentials)
 - 12%, 18% (standard goods/services)
 - 28% (luxury items)
 - Special cesses (e.g., on tobacco, aerated drinks)

• Input Tax Credit (ITC):

• A key feature allowing businesses to claim credit for taxes paid on inputs, helping reduce cascading tax effects.

• Exclusions:

• Petroleum products, alcohol, and electricity remain outside GST, leading to tax inefficiencies and uneven burdens, and there are repeated calls for their inclusion.

 $\textbf{Source:} \ \underline{https://indianexpress.com/article/business/india-bottom-consumers-face-same-gst-burden-study-top-pay-} 10143707/$