CIVIL NUCLEAR LIABILITY - POLITY

NEWS: India is **considering easing nuclear liability laws**, specifically to reduce accident-related fines on equipment suppliers, in order to attract U.S. and other foreign firms for civil nuclear projects.

WHAT'S IN THE NEWS?

Concept of Civil Nuclear Liability

- Civil nuclear liability laws are enacted to **ensure prompt and adequate compensation** to victims in the event of a nuclear accident.
- These laws **define the legal responsibility** for compensation and streamline judicial procedures by **channeling liability primarily to the nuclear operator**.
- The concept is rooted in the belief that nuclear energy, while beneficial, involves high risks, and thus **clear legal frameworks are needed for public safety** and private sector participation.

Nuclear Infrastructure in India

- India currently operates 22 nuclear reactors, all managed by the Nuclear Power Corporation of India Limited (NPCIL), a public sector enterprise.
- Although India has signed civil nuclear cooperation agreements with the U.S., France, and Japan, only Russia has proceeded with actual project implementation (e.g., Kudankulam Nuclear Power Plant).
- Key projects like:
 - Jaitapur Nuclear Power Project (with France) have been delayed since 2009 due to liability concerns.
 - Kovvada Project (Andhra Pradesh) remains in preliminary stages, awaiting clearance and implementation.
- The Russian projects were shielded from these concerns as they were initiated **prior to the** enactment of India's CLNDA in 2010.

International Legal Framework

- After the Chernobyl disaster in 1986, there was global momentum to establish a standard liability framework for nuclear accidents.
- The Convention on Supplementary Compensation (CSC), adopted in 1997:
 - Sets minimum standards for compensation.
 - Provides for **additional public funding** from member states if the operator's liability is insufficient.
 - Restricts liability to the **operator alone**, limiting the scope for legal action against suppliers.

• Although India signed the CSC earlier, it was **ratified only in 2016**, much after the passage of the CLNDA in 2010.

India's Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act (CLNDA), 2010

- The **CLNDA** was enacted to align with international norms, but India introduced additional provisions, notably supplier liability, to reflect national sensitivities.
- The law was strongly influenced by the **memory of the Bhopal Gas Tragedy (1984)**, where lack of supplier accountability caused public outrage and regulatory gaps.

a) Operator Liability Provisions

- Strict and no-fault liability is imposed on the operator, i.e., the Central Government or its authorised agency under the Atomic Energy Act, 1962.
- The operator must provide compensation of up to ₹1,500 crore, either through insurance or financial securities.
- If the claims exceed this limit, the **Government of India covers additional compensation up to 300 million SDRs** (approx. ₹2,100–₹2,300 crore).

b) Supplier Liability – Section 17

- India's unique feature in nuclear liability law is its inclusion of supplier liability under Section 17 of CLNDA.
- It allows the operator a **right of recourse against suppliers** under the following conditions:
 - **17(a)**: If the right is **explicitly stated in the contract** between the operator and supplier.
 - 17(b): If the incident is caused by latent or patent defects in equipment or services, even without intention.
 - 17(c): If the incident results from an intentional act or omission of the supplier.
- These go beyond the CSC, which only permits recourse under 17(a) and 17(c).

Legal Ambiguity – Section 46 of CLNDA

- Section 46 of the Act states that **other civil/criminal proceedings are not barred**, meaning that civil suits **outside the CLNDA framework** are still allowed.
- This provision creates **legal uncertainty**, as suppliers fear they may be **subject to tort law claims** or criminal proceedings despite the limited liability framework.
- Under tort law, victims may sue for damages based on negligence, potentially leading to unlimited liability for suppliers.
- While tort law serves a **restorative justice function**, its use in nuclear liability cases **undermines the predictability and limitation of risk** for foreign suppliers.

Government's Interpretation and Position

- The Indian Government has maintained that the **CLNDA** is consistent with CSC obligations, and does not breach international norms.
- Regarding Section 17(b), the government has clarified:
 - It **permits** the operator to seek recourse from suppliers but does not **mandate** such recourse in every case.
- On Section 46, the government argues:
 - The clause is **operator-specific**, and the word "supplier" is not mentioned.
 - Parliamentary debates confirm that the section was intended only for **protecting victims' rights against the operator**, not for extending liability to suppliers.

Conclusion: Challenges and Implications

- India's inclusion of supplier liability under Section 17(b) is seen as a departure from international norms, creating significant concerns for foreign reactor suppliers.
- This legal ambiguity and extended liability have acted as **roadblocks to operationalising civil nuclear cooperation agreements**, especially with countries like the U.S. and France.
- Without legal clarity or amendment:
 - Foreign investment and technological collaboration in India's nuclear sector will remain hesitant.
 - This impacts **India's long-term energy security**, especially in the context of clean energy transition.
- Thus, legal certainty, contractual safeguards, or legislative clarification may be necessary to attract wider participation in India's civil nuclear expansion plans.

Source: <u>https://epaper.thehindu.com/ccidist-</u> ws/th/th_international/issues/136038/OPS/GUMEFT912.1+GCVEGV767.1.html