INDIA'S IBC FRAMEWORK - ECONOMY

NEWS: Over eight years since its enactment, India's Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), 2016 has significantly altered the country's credit landscape.

WHAT'S IN THE NEWS?

Background and Purpose of IBC, 2016

• Need for Reform:

Before IBC, India had fragmented and inefficient mechanisms for debt resolution, with laws like the Sick Industrial Companies Act (SICA), SARFAESI Act, and DRTs proving ineffective in dealing with mounting **Non-Performing Assets (NPAs)**.

• Objective of Introduction:

The IBC, enacted in **2016**, was introduced as a **comprehensive reform** to create a unified framework for resolving insolvency for **companies**, **partnerships**, **and individuals** in a time-bound and creditor-friendly manner.

• Shift in Approach:

The code **replaced the debtor-in-possession model** with a **creditor-in-control mechanism**, where financial creditors, through a Committee of Creditors (CoC), control the resolution process.

Core Objectives of the IBC Resolution Framework

• Business Revival:

Focus is on **reviving distressed businesses** rather than direct liquidation, through measures like **debt restructuring**, **management changes**, or **mergers and acquisitions**.

• Maximization of Asset Value:

The code aims to **preserve and enhance** the value of the assets of the corporate debtor during the resolution process, minimizing value erosion.

• Encouragement of Credit and Entrepreneurship:

By ensuring effective recovery mechanisms, IBC boosts lender confidence, improves credit availability, and promotes a culture of responsible entrepreneurship.

• Equitable Treatment of Stakeholders:

It seeks to **balance the interests** of all stakeholders—creditors (secured and unsecured), employees, and promoters.

Resolution Process under the IBC (Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process - CIRP)

• Initiation:

The insolvency process can be initiated by either a **financial or operational creditor**, or by the **debtor company itself**, through an application before the **National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT)**.

• Admission and IRP Appointment:

If the NCLT admits the application, an **Interim Resolution Professional (IRP)** is appointed and a **moratorium** is declared to halt all legal proceedings against the debtor.

• Public Announcement:

A public notice is issued inviting claims from creditors, enabling **debt validation and claims registration**.

Formation of CoC:

The IRP identifies financial creditors and forms a Committee of Creditors (CoC), which becomes the decision-making body for resolution.

• Appointment of RP:

The CoC may choose to **retain the IRP** as the **Resolution Professional (RP)** or **appoint a new RP** to manage the process.

• Resolution Plan Invitation:

The RP invites resolution plans from potential applicants, which may include proposals for:

- Restructuring or reduction of debt
- Management overhaul
- Business sale, merger, or acquisition
- Alteration in loan terms or equity conversion

Approval of Plan:

A resolution plan must receive at least 66% voting approval from CoC members (based on their voting share) before being submitted to the NCLT.

• Binding Approval:

Once the **NCLT approves** the plan, it becomes **binding** on all stakeholders, including creditors, employees, and shareholders.

• Liquidation Provision:

If no resolution is achieved within **330 days**, or if CoC opts for liquidation, the company proceeds to liquidation under IBC.

Timeline and Statutory Provisions

• 330-Day Timeline:

As per amendments, the maximum time allowed for completion of CIRP is **330 days**, including litigation and judicial delays.

• Original Timeline:

Initially set at **180 days**, extendable by 90 days (total 270), but due to frequent delays, the cap was raised.

Achievements and Impact of IBC

• Improved Global Ranking:

IBC significantly contributed to India's **Ease of Doing Business** ranking by the World Bank, improving from **136 (2016)** to **52 (2020)** in the category of 'Resolving Insolvency'.

• Major Contributor to Recoveries:

As per the RBI's Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2024 report, IBC accounted for

48% of all recoveries made by banks in FY 2023–24, showing its role in improving financial discipline.

Key Challenges and Concerns with IBC Implementation

• Delays in Case Disposal:

Despite a statutory deadline of 330 days, as of March 31, 2025, 78% of ongoing CIRP cases had exceeded 270 days, primarily due to delays in NCLT hearings, procedural bottlenecks, and litigation.

• Judicial Delays Post-Resolution:

Even after CoC approval, **judicial interventions** (e.g., Bhushan Power & Steel case) have delayed implementation, discouraging bidders and undermining certainty.

• High Haircuts for Creditors:

On average, **creditors recover only around 33%** of their admitted claims, implying an average **haircut of 67%**, raising questions on efficiency and value maximization.

• Lack of Special Provisions for Modern Enterprises:

The code is yet to evolve to effectively handle:

- Intellectual property valuation
- Tech and start-up continuity
- Employee stock options and rights

• Infrastructure and Manpower Deficit:

Both NCLT and NCLAT face serious capacity constraints, including shortage of judges, lack of digitization, and backlog of pending cases.

Source: https://www.thehindu.com/business/is-ibc-an-effective-resolution-tool-explained/article69660687.ece#:~:text=As%20the%20National%20Company%20Law,a%20leap%20forward%