COMPULSORY LICENSING -RARE DISEASE: SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

NEWS: Rare disease patients demand compulsory licensing for life-saving drugs: Here's why it's significant

WHAT'S IN THE NEWS?

Rare disease patients have approached the Karnataka High Court seeking compulsory licensing of costly patented drugs under India's Patents Act, 1970, to improve access to life-saving treatments. The move highlights urgent gaps in affordability, policy support, and timely availability of rare disease therapies.

Context

Recently, rare disease patients approached the Karnataka High Court, urging the government to invoke compulsory licensing provisions under the Indian Patents Act, 1970 to access life-saving medicines.

About Compulsory Licensing (CL)

Definition

- A Compulsory License (CL) is a government authorization allowing a third party to produce a patented product or process without the consent of the patent owner.
- It is typically used in cases of **public health emergencies**, **non-availability**, or **high costs** of essential medicines.
- CL can be issued even when the patent is still valid.

International Legal Basis

- WTO's TRIPS Agreement (Article 31): Allows member states to issue CL under specific conditions.
- Doha Declaration on TRIPS and Public Health (2001): Reaffirms WTO members' rights to protect public health and promote access to medicines for all.

Compulsory Licensing in India

Legal Provision

• Governed by Section 84 of the Indian Patents Act, 1970.

Eligibility

• Can be invoked after 3 years from the grant of the patent.

Grounds for CL

- **Public Interest**: Reasonable requirements of the public are not being met.
- Affordability: Patented invention is not available at a reasonably affordable price.

• Availability: Patented invention is not being worked (manufactured or made available) sufficiently in India.

Process

- A third party (not necessarily the government) can apply to the Controller General of Patents for a CL.
- The **Controller** has discretion to grant or reject a CL based on:
 - Nature of the invention.
 - Capacity of the applicant to manufacture/use effectively.
 - Potential benefit to the public.

Ownership Retention

- The patent holder retains ownership of the patent.
- The licensee receives only **limited rights** to manufacture/use the invention.
- The patent holder is entitled to reasonable compensation/royalties.

Example

- CL has been used only **once** in India:
 - In **2012**, **Natco Pharma** received a CL to manufacture **Nexavar**, a cancer drug patented by **Bayer**.

About Rare Diseases

Definition

• Rare diseases are **low-prevalence conditions** affecting a small population.

WHO Criteria

• A disease is considered rare if it affects 1 or fewer per 1,000 individuals.

Types

- Genetic disorders (e.g., Spinal Muscular Atrophy, Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy).
- Rare cancers.
- Neglected tropical diseases.
- Degenerative and autoimmune disorders.

National Policy for Rare Diseases, 2021

Classification of Rare Diseases

- **Group 1**: One-time **curative treatment** available.
- Group 2: Lifelong/long-term treatment needed, at lower cost.
- Group 3: High-cost, lifelong treatment, with patient selection challenges.

Financial Support

- Up to ₹50 lakh per patient at notified Centres of Excellence (CoEs).
- Separate from Rashtriya Arogya Nidhi (RAN) scheme (₹20 lakh limit).

Centres of Excellence (CoEs)

• 12 CoEs identified, primarily in government hospitals.

National Registry

• A hospital-based national registry is being developed to collect data and support research.

Treatment

• Begins immediately after registration.

Diagnostic Support

• Nidan Kendras provide genetic testing and counselling.

Tax Exemptions

• **GST** and customs duty waived on imported drugs for rare diseases.

Research and Drug Development

• National Consortium (NCRDTRD) promotes R&D and local affordable drug manufacturing.

Reasons for Demand of Compulsory Licensing by Rare Disease Patients

Drug Prices

- Imported medicines such as:
 - **Risdiplam** (for Spinal Muscular Atrophy).
 - Trikafta (for Cystic Fibrosis).
- These can cost up to ₹70 lakh for a 3-month course.
- Even generics are unaffordable for most Indian families.

Limitation of Government Fund

- Under Rare Diseases Policy 2021, ₹50 lakh per patient is provided.
- However, the limited corpus is quickly exhausted, leaving many patients without access to life-saving therapies.

Import Dependence

- Families rely on **social media** to contact Indians abroad to bring medicines.
- This ad-hoc method is **risky**, **unreliable**, and **not scalable**.

Delayed Market Entry

- Some pharma companies obtain patents in India but do not register or sell the drug.
- This **restricts access** while maintaining monopoly rights.

Issues Related to Compulsory Licensing

Trade and Diplomatic Pressure

- Countries issuing CL may face backlash from:
 - Developed nations.
 - Pharmaceutical lobbies.
- Example: After Nexavar CL, USTR placed India on the Priority Watch List.

Discouragement of Innovation

- Patent holders argue that CL undermines R&D incentives and weakens the global IP regime.
- Over-reliance on CL may deter:
 - Voluntary licensing.
 - Foreign investment.

Complex Legal Procedures

- Applying for and granting CL involves a **lengthy**, **bureaucratic process**.
- This delays **timely access** to critical drugs.

Limited Use in India

- India has issued only **one** CL in two decades due to:
 - Political caution.

• Institutional inertia.

Limited Manufacturing Capacity

• Not all local firms have the **technical or infrastructural capability** to produce patented drugs after CL.

Royalty and Compensation Disputes

- Determining "reasonable remuneration" often leads to legal disputes.
- This affects the **timely rollout** of affordable alternatives.

Way Forward: Ensuring Access to Life-Saving Drugs for Rare Disease Patients

Price Regulation & Generic Substitution

- Enforce price caps on patented drugs via National Pharmaceutical Pricing Authority (NPPA).
- Encourage import and licensing of low-cost generics until local production scales up.

Proactive Use of CL

- Government and courts should actively invoke:
 - Section 84 (normal CL).
 - Section 92 (emergency CL) of the Patents Act.
- Especially important for **Group 3 rare diseases**.

Increasing Financial Support

- Expand financial assistance under the **National Policy for Rare Diseases**:
 - Increase cap above ₹50 lakh, especially for Group 3 diseases.
 - Pool CSR funds, crowdfunding, and state contributions.

Boosting Indigenous R&D and Drug Development

- Scale up funding for **NCRDTRD**.
- Incentivize:
 - Academic institutions.
 - Start-ups to develop orphan drugs with:
 - Fast-track trials.
 - Regulatory support.

International Collaboration

- Leverage South-South cooperation to share affordable therapeutic innovations.
- Negotiate patent pool agreements.
- Participate in global initiatives like the Medicines Patent Pool (MPP).

Judicial Sensitivity and Policy Alignment

- Courts should adopt a **rights-based approach** to interpret IP law.
- Right to health should be prioritized over profits.
- Streamline legal processes:
 - > Enable fast-track CL approval in rare disease cases.
- Align IP, health, and pharmaceutical policy under a coherent national framework.

Conclusion

- A robust and compassionate approach is essential to ensure access to life-saving drugs for rare disease patients in India.
- This requires a combination of:
 - Compulsory licensing tools.
 - Policy reforms.
 - Manufacturing capability.
 - Financial support.
- Government, judiciary, industry, and civil society must work together to promote:
 - Equity.
 - Affordability.
 - Access for rare disease patients.

Source: https://indianexpress.com/article/health-wellness/high-costs-life-saving-drugs-patients-rare-diseases-indian-variants-10055851/