# KARNATAKA-MAHARASHTRA BORDER DISPUTE -POLITY

**NEWS:** Bus services between Karnataka and Maharashtra have come to a standstill after tensions flared up recently when a bus conductor was beaten up in Belagavi for allegedly not responding in Marathi.

### WHAT'S IN THE NEWS?

The longstanding border dispute between Karnataka and Maharashtra primarily revolves around Belagavi (formerly known as Belgaum), a district in Karnataka that has a significant Marathi-speaking population. Maharashtra has repeatedly claimed Belagavi and several adjoining areas, arguing that these regions were historically a part of the Bombay Presidency before India's independence. Karnataka, however, asserts that the district was correctly allocated to it under the States Reorganisation Act of 1956, which was based on linguistic majorities.

## **Historical Background and Timeline of the Dispute**

- 1. 1956 States Reorganisation Act:
  - The **States Reorganisation Act** was passed to restructure Indian states based on **linguistic demographics**.
  - Despite having a large Marathi-speaking population, Belagavi was assigned to Karnataka instead of Maharashtra.
- 2. 1960 Maharashtra's Initial Claims:
  - Maharashtra began challenging Belagavi's inclusion in Karnataka, claiming that several Marathi-speaking villages and towns along the border were wrongly assigned.
  - The state argued that the linguistic composition of these areas justified their merger with Maharashtra.
- 3. 1966 Formation of the Mahajan Commission:
  - To settle the dispute, the Indian government appointed the Mahajan Commission under former Chief Justice Mehr Chand Mahajan.
  - The commission's findings favored Karnataka, recommending that Belagavi and 247 villages remain with Karnataka, while 264 villages should be transferred to Maharashtra.
  - Maharashtra **rejected the report**, refusing to accept its recommendations.
- 4. 2004 Maharashtra Moves to the Supreme Court:
  - Maharashtra escalated the dispute by filing a **petition in the Supreme Court of India**, officially staking its claim over Belagavi and surrounding areas.
  - The case remains **pending**, with no final ruling from the **Supreme Court**.

Claims of Both States Maharashtra's Claims

- Maharashtra asserts its claim over 814 villages and urban centers such as Belagavi, Karwar, and Nippani, arguing that they were historically a part of the Bombay Presidency before independence.
- The state contends that these areas have a **majority Marathi-speaking population** and should have been included in Maharashtra during the **1956 reorganization**.
- Maharashtra officially **challenged the allocation of Belagavi** in the Supreme Court in **2004**, maintaining that the will of the local Marathi-speaking population was not considered.



### Karnataka's Claims

- Karnataka **strongly defends its jurisdiction** over Belagavi, asserting that the district was rightly included under the **States Reorganisation Act of 1956**, which prioritized linguistic demographics.
- The **1966 Mahajan Commission Report** upheld Karnataka's claim, recommending that Belagavi and **247 villages remain within Karnataka**.
- Karnataka argues that since Belagavi's inclusion, the region has witnessed significant economic and infrastructural development under Karnataka's governance.
- To further reinforce its administrative and political control, Karnataka constructed the Suvarna Vidhana Soudha in Belagavi, where it has been holding winter sessions of the state legislature since 2006.

# **Current Status of the Dispute**

- The **border issue remains unresolved**, with the Supreme Court yet to **deliver a final judgment**.
- Periodic tensions between the two states continue, often leading to protests, political debates, and cultural disputes.

• While Maharashtra continues to demand a reassessment of the border, Karnataka remains firm in its position that **Belagavi is an integral part of the state**.

# Mahajan Commission and Inter-State Border Disputes in India Mahajan Commission (1966)

The Mahajan Commission was established in 1966 under the chairmanship of Mehr Chand Mahajan, who was the former Chief Justice of India. The commission was tasked with examining and making recommendations on the Karnataka-Maharashtra border dispute, particularly concerning the Belagavi (Belgaum) region.

### **Key Recommendations of the Mahajan Commission:**

- The commission conducted a detailed review of the linguistic demographics and historical claims of both states.
- It **recommended the transfer of 264 villages** from Karnataka to Maharashtra.
- However, it ruled that **Belagavi and 247 villages should remain with Karnataka**, rejecting Maharashtra's demand for their inclusion.

### **Response to the Mahajan Commission Report:**

- Maharashtra rejected the commission's findings, arguing that the Marathispeaking population in the disputed areas was not adequately considered.
- Karnataka accepted the report and has since maintained that either the recommendations should be fully implemented or the existing territorial status quo should be upheld.
- Despite the commission's recommendations, the dispute remains **unresolved**, with Maharashtra continuing to press its claims, and Karnataka asserting its control over Belagavi.

## **Key Inter-State Border Disputes in India**

Apart from the Karnataka-Maharashtra dispute, several other inter-state border conflicts exist in India, primarily arising from historical agreements, colonial-era demarcations, and linguistic or ethnic factors. Some of the major disputes are:

### 1. Assam-Mizoram Border Dispute

- The dispute originates from two conflicting **British-era notifications** regarding the boundary between Assam and Mizoram.
- **Mizoram claims the 1875 boundary**, which was drawn under the Bengal Eastern Frontier Regulation Act and is considered favorable to Mizoram.
- Assam, on the other hand, follows the 1933 boundary, which it believes accurately defines the state's jurisdiction.
- The lack of clarity and differing interpretations have led to **frequent violent clashes** along the border.

#### 2. Haryana-Himachal Pradesh Border Dispute

• The conflict primarily revolves around the **Parwanoo region**, a town near the **Haryana-Himachal Pradesh border**.

- Haryana claims that certain areas near Parwanoo were historically part of its territory before the **formation of Himachal Pradesh in 1971**.
- **Himachal Pradesh refutes this claim**, asserting that the region has always been within its boundaries.

### 3. Himachal Pradesh-Ladakh Border Dispute

- This dispute centers around the Sarchu region, which lies on the strategic Leh-Manali highway and is a crucial transit point between Himachal Pradesh and Ladakh.
- Himachal Pradesh has **historically administered Sarchu**, but **Ladakh claims** that the region should be under its jurisdiction.
- The dispute holds strategic significance due to the **military importance of the region** in the context of India-China border tensions.

### 4. Arunachal Pradesh-Assam Border Dispute

- The dispute originates from the **reorganization of North-Eastern states** and the transfer of certain **forested areas from Arunachal Pradesh to Assam**.
- Arunachal Pradesh contests this transfer, arguing that it was done without proper consultation and that the territory rightfully belongs to Arunachal Pradesh.
- The matter remains **pending before the Supreme Court**, with periodic tensions along the **shared border**.

#### 5. Meghalaya-Assam Border Dispute

- This dispute arises from Meghalaya's opposition to the **Assam Reorganisation Act of 1971**, which defined its borders after gaining statehood.
- Meghalaya claims that **Blocks I and II of Mikir Hills**, which were included in Assam, historically belonged to it.
- The disagreement has led to **frequent protests and minor clashes** between the two states.

### 6. Assam-Nagaland Border Dispute

- This is **one of the longest-running border disputes in India**, dating back to the formation of **Nagaland in 1963**.
- The dispute primarily concerns Naga-dominated areas that were left within Assam's territory when Nagaland was carved out as a separate state.
- The issue has led to violent clashes, police confrontations, and displacement of villagers over the decades.

#### Conclusion

Inter-state border disputes in India are a legacy of colonial-era boundaries, linguistic divisions, and historical claims. While judicial intervention and government-led negotiations have helped manage some disputes, many remain unresolved, leading to periodic tensions between states. A cooperative approach involving historical review, local consultations, and legal adjudication is essential for finding lasting solutions to these territorial conflicts.

**Source:** <a href="https://indianexpress.com/article/political-pulse/maharashtra-karnataka-border-dispute-history-9852296/">https://indianexpress.com/article/political-pulse/maharashtra-karnataka-border-dispute-history-9852296/</a>