



EDITORIAL: THE HINDU

GENERAL STUDIES 1: HISTORY
TOPIC: POST INDEPENDENCE

DATE: 21.12.2024

IT IS FOR HISTORIANS TO DIG FOR TELL-TALE REMAINS, NOT BIGOTS

The Places of Worship (Special Provisions) Act, 1991: Safeguarding Secularism

Purpose and Significance of the Act

- Enacted to uphold India's secular fabric amidst rising communal tensions during the Ram Janmabhoomi movement.
- The Act ensures the status quo of all religious places of worship as they existed on August 15, 1947, barring alterations to their religious character.
- It nullifies all ongoing suits and appeals concerning religious conversions at worship sites, effectively limiting judicial intervention in disputes about their historical character.
- Fresh claims challenging the religious identity of any place of worship are prohibited, providing a legal shield against sectarian conflicts.
- The 1947 cut-off date was chosen for its historical and symbolic significance, marking India's independence while avoiding contentious timelines.

Recent Concerns and Judicial Observations

- Lower court orders permitting surveys of religious sites, such as the Gyanvapi mosque, have sparked debates over communal harmony and constitutional integrity.
- Former Chief Justice of India, D.Y. Chandrachud, remarked that such surveys might not violate the Act in specific contexts, leading to varied interpretations by lower courts.
- These actions, although not in direct contravention of the law, have raised fears of communal tension and deviation from secular principles.

Challenges to the Act's Constitutionality

- The Supreme Court is hearing challenges to the Act's constitutionality based on two primary grounds:
 1. The perceived arbitrariness of the 1947 cut-off date, which allegedly disregards historical injustices before independence.



2. Allegations that the Act denies judicial review, which is a fundamental part of the Constitution's basic structure.

- Defenders of the Act argue that legislative powers allow the nullification of specific types of disputes without infringing on judicial review in other contexts.

Misinterpretation and its Implications

- Lower courts in Uttar Pradesh have misinterpreted non-binding judicial observations to order surveys and excavations under mosques, such as in the Gyanvapi mosque case.
- These actions directly contravene the Act's intent, which prohibits alterations or investigations into the religious character of worship sites as they existed on August 15, 1947.
- Such judicial missteps have led to communal unrest, as seen in Sambhal, Uttar Pradesh, where violence erupted due to these orders.

Secularism, Fundamental Rights, and Judicial Perspectives

- The Supreme Court, in its Ayodhya judgment, emphasized the Act as a cornerstone of India's secular framework.
- Article 26 of the Constitution ensures the right of religious denominations to manage their places of worship without external interference, aligning with the Act's provisions.
- The Supreme Court's decision to temporarily halt litigation related to these disputes reflects the need to prioritize secularism and harmony over sectarian interests.

Historical Context and Social Implications

- Beneath several mosques, remnants of older religious structures, such as temples or viharas, may exist. However, their excavation should be the domain of archaeologists, not legal or communal disputes.
- Misuse of historical claims risks deepening communal divides, distorting narratives, and undermining India's secular and pluralistic ethos.
- Judicial restraint and strict adherence to the Act's provisions are critical to maintaining social harmony and avoiding historical revisionism driven by political or religious agendas.

Conclusion

The Places of Worship (Special Provisions) Act, 1991, stands as a vital legislative measure to preserve India's secular and harmonious coexistence. While the Act faces challenges in interpretation and application, its intent to prevent communal discord and uphold constitutional values remains paramount. It is essential for courts, legislators, and society to respect the law's spirit, ensuring that historical inquiries do not escalate into communal confrontations. Adhering to the Act and promoting secularism will strengthen the nation's unity and democratic principles.