NEW COLLECTIVE QUANTIFIED GOAL (NCQG) - ENVIRONMENT

NEWS: The New Collective Quantified Goal (NCQG) on climate finance will be a primary focus of COP29, to be held in Baku, Azerbaijan, from November 11-22, 2024.

• **Mandate of NCQG**: Aimed at addressing the needs of developing countries per Article 9 of the Paris Agreement, NCQG will shape future climate finance commitments.

WHAT'S IN THE NEWS?

Unresolved Issues in NCQG Negotiations

- **Diverse National Interests**: Countries have conflicting views on NCQG's scope, contribution scale, timelines, and sources.
- **Developing Countries' Stance**: Advocate for clear, quantitative targets with public finance and grants, emphasizing equitable responsibility and predictable timelines (5 or 10 years).
- **Developed Countries' Stance**: Prefer a broader contributor base with flexible finance structures and outcome-driven strategies focused on emissions and climate resilience.

Historical Distrust Due to Missed Commitments

- \$100 Billion Target Shortfalls: The \$100 billion annual pledge (set in 2009, met only in 2022) undermined trust as delays impacted developing countries.
- Insufficiency of the \$100 Billion Goal: According to the Standing Committee on Finance, trillions are needed for climate action; estimated needs range between \$5.036 trillion and \$6.876 trillion.

Issues with Current Climate Finance Structure

- Imbalance in Funding: Climate finance relies heavily on loans rather than grants, worsening the debt burden for vulnerable countries.
- Adaptation Finance Gaps: While mitigation projects attract private investment, adaptation efforts (e.g., infrastructure resilience) remain severely underfunded.
- Access Barriers: Complex access procedures for funds like the Green Climate Fund create hurdles for developing countries needing adaptation support.

Debate on Expanding the Contributor Base

- **Developed Countries' Proposal**: Countries like Switzerland and Canada advocate expanding contributors to include nations with higher emissions and income (e.g., China, Gulf countries).
- **Developing Countries' Concerns**: Oppose this expansion, viewing it as an attempt to sidestep principles of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities.



MAKING YOU SERVE THE NATION

Shift in Developed Countries' Narrative

Focus on Low-Emission, Resilient Development: Developed countries propose a focus on low-emission, climate-resilient growth, potentially diluting their specific responsibilities under the Paris Agreement.



Legal and Ethical Implications: This narrative shift risks violating the Paris Agreement's Article 9, undermining the principle that countries should uphold treaties in good faith (pacta sunt servanda).

Challenges in Current Climate Finance Definitions

- Lack of Clarity on "Additionality": The absence of a clear definition for additionality in climate finance creates ambiguity about whether funds are new and incremental.
- **Investment vs. Finance**: Including private investments as part of NCQG could dilute accountability and the public focus needed to address adaptation priorities.

Need for Technology and Capacity Building

- Broader Needs Beyond Finance: Developing countries require not just funding but also technology transfer and capacity-building assistance.
- Barriers to Multilateral Support: Procedural obstacles in multilateral mechanisms often prioritize 'value-for-money' over 'need-for-money,' limiting access to critical resources.



Critical Outcomes for COP29 and NCQG

- **Trust in Multilateralism at Stake**: The NCQG's success depends on restoring trust between developed and developing nations and upholding historical accountability.
- **Pivotal Question for Baku**: As COP29 approaches, the primary concern is whether climate finance commitments will yield genuine support or just empty promises for developing countries facing the climate crisis.

Source: https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/lead/all-eyes-on-baku-and-the-climate-finance-goal/article68842032.ece

